

Organization of an IEE

January 7, 2004 Brian Hirsch

How should an IEE best be organized? I'm not talking about the organization of the sections of the IEE, but how the activities are described, analyzed, threshold decisions and mitigation measures proposed. Is that best done by SO and/or IR, or by type of activity? Or perhaps there can be two different schemes, one for the description of activities and the other for risks to the environment and mitigation and monitoring measures.

The description of activities would seem to naturally be by SO and IR. That's likely the way program description documents are written, and enough reason for sticking with that approach is to avoid having to rewrite those materials. The analysis of risks and the proposal of threshold decisions and mitigation and monitoring measures is usually presented in that same way. However, those sections might be best approached by type of activity. For simple programs, it probably doesn't matter. But for more complex ones, involving the potential for duplication of activities in multiple IRs (e.g. training and technical assistance, etc.), presentation by IR can be duplicative and lengthy.